30 May 1988
Debra A. Siefken
School of Forest Resources
University of Georgia
Athens, GA 30602
404-542-3124



RH: Food Habits of St. Vincent Island Sambars and Hogs · <u>Siefken</u>
HOG AND SAMBAR FOOD HABITS ON SAINT VINCENT ISLAND, FLORIDA

DEBRA A. SIEFKEN, School of Forest Resources, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602

ABSTRACT: Sambar deer (Cervus unicolor) and feral hogs (Sus scrofa) coinhabit
St. Vincent I nd, Florida. Rumen samples were analyzed to assess the dietar,
overlap of these 2 species. Little competition for forage exists between these
sympatric populations in the fall season. The refuge manager, adding this
information to his or her body of knowledge, can better manage these 2 species.

J. WILDL. MANAGE. 00(0):000-000

<u>Key words:</u> <u>Cervus unicolor</u>, food habits, hogs, sambar deer, St. Vincent Island, <u>Sus scrofa</u>.

Three large mammals, exotic sambar deer, feral hogs, and white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus) coinhabit St. Vincent Island, a part of St. Vincent National Wildlife Refuge. The management of these species has been debated since 1968, when the federal government took control. Shea (1986), in his study of the ecology of sambar deer on the island, reported that there is little competition for forage between white-tailed deer and sambar deer. Investigations of the food habits of feral hogs on St. Vincent's have not been conducted. However, Wood and Roark (1980), in their study of fall food habits of feral hogs in coastal South Carolina, found that acorns (Quercus spp.), hickory nuts (Carya spp.), and muscadines (Vitis spp.) composed the

bulk of the diet. The objective of this study was to determine the fall dietary overlap of sambar deer and feral hogs on St. Vincent Island, Florida.

I would like to thank P. E. Hale for his invaluable assistance in plant identification. Additional appreciation is extended to C. W. Berisford and L. M. Hayes for their assistance in identification of invertebrates.

STUDY AREA AND METHODS

St. Vincent Island, a 4900-ha barrier island, is located in Franklin County, Florida, 0.5 km off the Gulf coast. The average annual rainfall is 139.65 cm and the mean temperature is 20.1 C. The fall 1987 population estimates were 200 white-tailed deer and 100 sambar deer (T. D. Carrol, Dep. Inter., pers. commun.). Censusing of the hog population was not conducted.

Rumen contents from 6 hogs and 5 sambar deer, collected by refuge personnel during November 1987, were individually bagged and frozen. Analysis was based upon the technique described by Harlow and Hooper (1971). One-quart samples were segregated according to size by washing each through a series of sieves (5.60 mm, 2.36 mm, 1.70 mm, and 0.85 mm). Contents of the top sieve (5.60 mm) were sorted, macroscopically and/or microscopically identified and volumetrically measured by water displacement. Materials measuring less than 0.25 ml were assigned a trace value. Contents of the smaller sieves were optically examined to insure no significant amount of a different material had passed through the largest sieve. Items that were not identifiable to genus or species were placed into appropriate categories. Percent volume by species was calculated for each sample and converted to aggregate percent volume for each hog and sambar group (Martin et al. 1946). In addition, percent occurrence was calculated for each category. To determine dietary overlap, Kulcyznski's similarity index (SI) (Oosting 1956) was used.

Note tall 16 1987 most crop a poor sofan GaseDon

RESULTS

Siefken

Flora identification was made using the reference written by Long and Lakela (1971). Cabbage palm (Sabal palmetto) fruit represented the highest aggregate percent volume in feral hogs, followed in decreasing order by fungi, bird parts, unknown roots, acorns, and unknown invertebrates. Validation for the heavy use of these foods comes from their high percent occurrence (Table 1). All of the hog rumens contained green grass (Poaceae), which were too fragmented to confidently identify. Centipedes, millipades, beetle larvae (Coleoptera), horsefly larvae (Pabanidae), mushroom beetles (Eryotillidae), grubworm beetles (Scarabaeidae), and crickets (Gryllidae) were identifiable wing Richards and Davies (1978). All were found in trace quantities except centipedes, which made up 1.2 percent aggregate volume.

The sambar deer utilized green grasses most often, followed by yaupon (Ilex vomitoria) stems, oak (Quercus spp.) leaves, unknown herbaceous stems, unknown woody stems, and yaupon leaves. Again, the high percent occurrence is indicative of the heavy use of these foods (Table 2). A majority of the deer rumens contained green wax myrtle (Myrica cerifera) leaves, unknown plant fiber, unknown green woody leaves, dead grasses, and saw palmetto (Serenoa repens) leaves. These items occurred in low aggregate percent volumes. The remaining foods appeared in only 1 or 2 deer and each represented less than 4 percent aggregate volume.

DISCUSSION AND MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

Macroscopic analysis of rumens is limited by the fact that potentially important small particles pass through the sieves during the washing of samples. However, the technique does provide an overall gross estimate of the types of forage consumed. Using Kulcyznski's SI, the percentage of the hog and sambar diets that were identical was 4.7. This low dietary overlap indicates that

these 2 species are not competing for forage in the fall season. The only food that occurred in a large percentage of both deer and hog rumens was green grasses. The low aggregate percent volume in the latter may indicate that the grasses were picked up incidentally. The high use of grasses in the sambar diet agrees with the findings by Shea (1986).

Management of the 3 large mammals, white-tailed deer, sambar deer, and feral hogs, on St. Vincent's Island has been discussed over the last 20 years. The importance of the mast crop to e the of these species has been debated. Acorns were not an important food item for the sambar, were utilized more by the hogs. The fall 1997 mast crop was average (T. D. Carrol, Dep. Inter., pers. commun.). A study involving all 3 species is needed to complete the comparison of sambar, whitetail, and hog diets. Also, a census of the hog population would be helpful. Once this is accomplished, the degree of competition can be evaluated properly and sound management decisions can be made.

LITERATURE CITED

- Harlow, R. F., and R. C. Hooper. 1971. Forages eaten by deer in the Southeast. Proc. Annu. Conf. Southeast. Assoc. Game and Fish Comm. 25:18-46.
- Long, R. W., and O. Lakela. 1971. A flora of tropical Florida. Univ. Miami Press, Coral Gables, Fla. 800 pp.
- Martin, A. C., R. H. Gensch, and C. P. Brown. 1946. Alternative methods in upland gamebird food analysis. J. Wildl. Manage. 10:8-12.
- Oosting, H. J. 1956. The study of plant communities. W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, Calif. 440 pp.
- Richards, O. W., and R. G. Davies. 1978. Imms' outlines of entomology. Sixth ed. Chapman and Hall Ltd., London, U.K. 254 pp.

Shea, S. M. 1986. The ecology of sambar deer: social behavior, movement ecology, and food habits. M.S. Thesis, Univ. Georgia, Athens. 117 pp. Wood, G. W., and D. N. Roark. 1980. Food habits of feral hogs in coastal South Carolina. J. Wildl. Manage. 44:506-511.

Table 1. Diet composition of fall 1987 rumen samples (N=6) from feral hogs on St. Vincent Island, Florida.

Forage	Aggregate Volume	Occurrence
	(%)	(%)
Sabal palmetto fruit	31 .4	66.8
Fungi	22.7	66.8
Bird parts	17.0	83.5
Unknown roots	9.7	83.5
Quercus sp. acorns	7.7	83.5
Unknown invertebrates	6.2	66.8
Poaceae (grasses, green)	2.4	100.0
Centipedes	1.2	50.1
Diospyros virginiana seeds	0.8	33.3
Sonchus sp. leaves	0.6	16.7
Frog	0.3	16.7
Coleoptera larvae	tr	16.7
Eryotillidae	tr	16.7
Gryllidae	tr	16.7
Millipedes	tr	16.7
Pabanidae larvae	tr	16.7
Scarabaeidae	tr	16.7
Unknown woody stems	tr	16.7
TOTAL	100.0	•

Table 2. Diet comp on of fall 1987 rumen samples (N=5) from sambar deer on St. Vincent Island, Florida.

Forage .	Aggregate Volume (%)	Occurrence	Preprietable Authorities and Constitution of the Constitution of t
Poaceae (grasses, green)	17.4	100.0	
Ilex vomitoria stems	16.7	40.0	
Quercus spp. leaves, green	8.3	100.0	
Unknown herbaceous stems	7.2	60.0	
Unknown woody stems	6.4	80.0	
<u>Ilex vomitoria</u> leaves	6.1	80.0	
Unknown plant fiber	5.1	60.0	
Myrica cerifera leaves	4.2	80.0	
Ilex glabra stems	3.6	20.0	
Bacopa sp. leaves	2.9	20.0	1
Unknown woody leaves, green	2.9	60.0	
Smilax sp. leaves	2.9	40.0	
Poaceae (grasses, dead)	2.8	60.0	The state of the s
Ilex glabra leaves	2.2	20.0	
Pinus sp. leaves	2.2	40.0	
Rhus copallina leaves	2.2	20.0	
Sabal palmetto fruit	1.7	20.0	
Polygonum sp. leaves	1.4	40.0	20 A San Barrer
llex sp. leaves	0.7	20.0	
Jnknown woody leaves, dead	0.7	40.0	The Canadata
uercus sp. acoms	0,6	40.0	
			1

Table 2 (cont.).

Forage	Aggregate Volume	Occurrence (%)
Serenoa repens leaves	0.6	60.0
Eleocharis sp. leaves	0.4	20.0
Vitis rotundifolia leaves	0.4	20.0
Vitis sp. leaves	0.4	40.0
Ceratiola ericoides	tr	20.0
<u> Iydrocotyle</u> sp. leaves	tr	20.0
lex sp. fruit	tr .	20.0
ivrica cerifera stems	tr	20.0
uniperus sp. leaves	tr	40.0
Illandsia usneoides	tr	40.0
ypha sp. leaves	tr	20.0
nknown lizard	tr	20.0
accinium sp. fruit	tr	20.0
OTAL	100.0	